

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/052,286		HAMAZAKI ET AL.		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Jason T. Whipkey		2622		

All Participants:

(1) Jason Whipkey.

(2) Robert Colwell.

Date of Interview: 28 July 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Now abandoned

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 6:49 p.m.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
N/A

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*The examiner called to verify that no response has been sent to the pending Office action and that the case could be abandoned.
The attorney for Applicant confirmed.*

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


VIVEK SRIVASTAVA
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)